



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,293 10/02/2000		Paul A. Bolme	1441-6	3664	
996 7	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER		
	, JACKSON, HALEY	MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS			
155 - 108TH A SUITE 350	AVENUE NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
~~	WA 98004-5901	2655			

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\\K
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	γο
		09/677,29	09/677,293 BOLME ET AL.		
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	/ /
		Susan Mo		2655	
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communic ply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of time may be available under the provisions of) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d for reply is specified above, the maximum state eply within the set or extended period for reply we exceived by the Office later than three months afte ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wirill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this committed to the committed state of the committed	nunication.
Status					
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 <i>March 2005</i>.</u>			
2a) This	s action is FINAL. 2t	o)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)☐ Sinc	ce this application is in condition for	or allowance except	for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
clos	ed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition o	of Claims				
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>26-35 and 71-85</u> is/are pend	ing in the application	n.		
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are				
5)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>26-35 and 71-85</u> is/are rejec	ted.			
	m(s) is/are objected to.				
·	m(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election re	equirement.		
Application F	Papers				
9)∏ The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
·=	•	a) accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
•	licant may not request that any object			•	
Rep	lacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is require	ed if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached C	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)∐ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim fo			19(a)-(d) or (f).	
2.	•			olication No.	
3.					age
٠. <u>ـــ</u>	application from the Internation	•			J
* See t	he attached detailed Office action			ceived.	
Attachment(s)			🗖 :		
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	·O-948)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Ps)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/677,293

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-35 and 71-85 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 26-34,71-72, and 74-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell et al. (5,905,248).

In regard to claims 26 and 74, Russell et al. show a system, method, and computer readable medium for presenting a natural language message to a user from a keystroke output wedge, the method comprising: a) querying a Windows-type operating system for information (col. 7, In 40-50, "Go to"), b) transmitting to a Windows API a query for information from a keystroke output wedge (col. 7, In 35-40, bar code reader, URL), c) receiving in the keystroke output wedge information from the Windows- type operating system in response to the query (col. 7-8); and d) providing to a user from the keystroke output wedge a natural language message, where the natural language message is a function of the information from the operating system (ASCII based symbol character data, Fig 1C, col. 16-18).

Application/Control Number: 09/677,293

Art Unit: 2655

In regard to claim 27, Russell et al. show that the information relates to a Windows-type application program designed to receive keystroke input (col. 7-8, URL input, go to window).

In regard to claims 28 and 78, Russell et al. show that the natural language message is inherently a prompt soliciting the user to provide input data (col. 18, audio input, speech recognition).

In regard to claims 29,71,72, and 79, Russell et al. show that the natural language message is feedback provided in response to input data received from the user (audio (audibly) and video output (visually), col. 18).

In regard to claims 30 and 80, Russell et al. show that the natural language message is a status message provided to the user in response to a pre- programmed inquiry from the keystroke output wedge to the operating system (2 way communication, col. 17-18, Fig. 4).

In regard to claims 31,77, and 81, Russell et al. show receiving in a keystroke output wedge input data from a data input device and the information relates to a Windows-type application program designed to receive keystroke input (Fig. 4, col. 17-18, Fig. 1).

In regard to claims 32,76, and 82, Russell et al. show that the data input device is a bar code data input device and the medium is a data carving an electro-magnetic signal (Fig. 1).

In regard to claims 33-34, and 83-84, Russell et al. show where the step of transmitting to a Windows API further includes transmitting a GetForegroundWindow

Application/Control Number: 09/677,293

Art Unit: 2655

function and show where the step of transmitting to a Windows API further includes transmitting a GetWindowText function (Goto window functions, col. 7).

In regard to claim 75, Russsell et al. show that the medium is a tangible memory (col. 15, ln 25-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 35,73, and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. (cited above).

In regard to claims 35 and 85, Russell et al. show the system above. Russell et al. don not specifically show where the step of providing to a user from the keystroke output wedge a natural language message further includes transmitting to a Windows API a sndplaysound function. The Examiner takes Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know that any computer system can contain various sound functions and controls.

In regard to claim 73, Russell et al. show the system above. Russell et al. don not specifically show where the step of providing to a user a natural language message is at a predetermined time. The Examiner takes Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know that a computer system can be programmed to display various messages as often as desired.

Application/Control Number: 09/677,293 Page 5

Art Unit: 2655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2655

April 26, 2005